

A BLOWUP RESULT FOR THE CRITICAL EXPONENT IN CONES

BY

HOWARD A. LEVINE AND PETER MEIER

Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

ABSTRACT

We consider positive solutions of the initial value problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^p$ in cones $D = \mathbf{R}^+ \times \Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^N$ ($\Omega \subseteq S^{N-1}$). In an earlier paper, we determined a critical exponent $p^*(\Omega)$ with the following properties: (a) if $1 < p < p^*$, then all nontrivial solutions blow up in finite time (blowup case); (b) if $p > p^*$, then there are nontrivial global solutions (global existence case). Here we show that p^* belongs to the blowup case. This generalizes a well-known result for the critical exponent $p^* = 1 + 2/N$ in $D = \mathbf{R}^N$.

Let $D \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ be an unbounded domain. We consider the initial-boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 (P) \quad & u_t = \Delta u + u^p && \text{in } D \times [0, T), \\
 & u(x, t) = 0 && \text{on } \partial D \times [0, T), \\
 & u(x, 0) = u_0(x) && \text{on } D,
 \end{aligned}$$

u is bounded at $|x| = \infty$,

where $u_0 \geq 0$ and $p > 1$.

In the case $D = \mathbf{R}^N$, a classical result of Fujita [3] says:

- (A) If $1 < p < 1 + 2/N$, there are no nontrivial nonnegative solutions of (P).
 (B) If $p > 1 + 2/N$, global, positive solutions of (P) exist. (That is, if

$$0 \leq u_0(x) < \delta(4\pi t_0)^{-N/2} \exp(-|x|^2/4t_0)$$

for some $t_0 > 0$ and some $\delta = \delta(t_0)$, sufficiently small, then u is global.)

Received January 3, 1989 and in revised form April 5, 1989

Case (A) is called the blowup case; (B) is called the global existence case. The number

$$p^* = 1 + 2/N$$

is called the critical exponent. Several authors [1, 5, 7, 14] have shown that p^* belongs to the blow up case.

Fujita asked the following question: If R^N is replaced by the exterior of a bounded domain, is p^* still the critical exponent? (The answer is yes and this has been established in [2].) The question then arises, if both the domain D and $R^N - D$ are unbounded, what happens to p^* ? Meier [12] gave a partial answer when, for fixed $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$,

$$D = D_k = \{x \in R^N \mid x_1 > 0, \dots, x_k > 0\}.$$

He found that $p^* = 1 + 2/(N + k)$. He did *not* prove that p^* belongs to the blow up case.

Meier's result in turn led the authors of [2] to consider general cones. We turn next to a brief discussion of the results for cones.

By a cone D in R^N with vertex at 0, we mean the following: Let $\Omega \subset S^{N-1}$ be an open connected subset of the unit N sphere, then D has the form

$$\{x \in R^N \mid x \neq 0, x/|x| \in \Omega\}.$$

We let $r = |x|$. For any $x \in D$, we write $x = (r, \theta)$ in "polar" coordinates.

Let ω_1 be the smallest Dirichlet eigenvalue for the Laplace Beltrami operator Δ_θ on Ω . Let γ_\pm denote the positive and negative roots of

$$\gamma(\gamma + N - 2) - \omega_1 = 0.$$

Let

$$p^*(\omega_1) = 1 + 2/(N + \gamma_+) = 1 + 2/(-\gamma_-).$$

In [2] it was shown that if $1 < p < p^*(\omega_1)$, p is in the blow up case (A). There the authors also showed that if p was sufficiently large, p was in the global existence case. In [10], this result was sharpened and it was shown that if $p > p^*(\omega_1)$, then p is in the global existence case (B).

It is the purpose of this paper to show that $p^*(\omega_1)$ is also in the blow up case (Theorem 3 below).

We will do this by modifying the arguments of Weissler [14] for the case $D = R^N$. Weissler's proof made strong use of the fact that the L^1 norm of the Green's function for the heat equation in R^N is independent of time. This is not

true for other domains and therefore his arguments must be substantially modified.

This result has also been established by Kavian [6] but only in the case of *convex* cones (cones for which Ω is a convex subset of S^{N-1} in the geodesic metric).

Let $\{\psi_n(\theta)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ denote an orthogonal sequence of Dirichlet eigenfunctions for Δ_θ on Ω corresponding to the sequence $\{\omega_n\}$ of Dirichlet eigenvalues for this problem. We shall normalize ψ_1 so that

$$\int_\Omega \psi_1(\theta) dS_\theta = 1.$$

(We may always take $\psi_1 > 0$ in Ω since Δ_θ (with Dirichlet boundary conditions) is a self adjoint second order elliptic operator on $H_0^1(\Omega)$. See Courant and Hilbert, *Methods of Mathematical Physics*, Vol. I, p. 452.)

Throughout this paper, computable constants C or C_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, depend upon ω_1, ψ_1, N . This dependence is not explicitly indicated. When they depend upon other variables, we indicate that dependence in the argument list.

Define

$$v_n = [\frac{1}{4}(N - 2)^2 + \omega_n]^{1/2}$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then the Green's function for the linear heat equation in the cone takes the following form for some appropriate sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of positive constants:

$$G(r, \theta, \rho, \phi; t) = (2t)^{-1}(r\rho)^{-(N-2)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{(\rho^2 + r^2)}{4t}\right) \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n I_{v_n}\left(\frac{r\rho}{2t}\right) \psi_n(\theta)\psi_n(\phi)$$

where $\phi, \theta \in \Omega$ and

$$I_\nu(t) = (\frac{1}{2}z)^\nu \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(\frac{1}{2}z)^k}{k! \Gamma(\nu + k + 1)} = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{2}z)^\nu / \Gamma(\nu + 1) & z \rightarrow 0^+, \\ e^z / \sqrt{2\pi z} & z \rightarrow +\infty. \end{cases}$$

denotes the usual modified Bessel function. The formula for G can be obtained by expanding the inverse Laplace transform of the solution of the heat equation in a Fourier-Bessel series and using the identity

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} J_{\nu_n}(\sqrt{\lambda}r) J_{\nu_n}(\sqrt{\lambda}\rho) d\lambda = \frac{1}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2 + \rho^2}{4t}\right) \cdot I_{\nu_n}\left(\frac{r\rho}{2t}\right) \quad [13].$$

Then we have the following inequality for G :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} G(r, \theta, \rho, \phi, t) \psi_1(\theta) dS_{\theta} \\
 \text{(E1)} \quad & = C_0(2t)^{-1}(r\rho)^{-(N-2)/2} I_{\nu} \left(\frac{r\rho}{2t} \right) e^{-(r^2+\rho^2)/4t} \psi_1(\phi) \int_{\Omega} \psi_1^2 dS_{\theta} \\
 & \cong Ct^{-(\gamma+N/2)}(r\rho)^{\gamma} e^{-(r^2+\rho^2)/4t} \psi_1(\phi)
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have set $\gamma = \gamma_+$ and $\nu = \nu_1 = \gamma + \frac{1}{2}(N - 2)$ and where C is a computable constant. The second line follows from the series representation for $I_{\nu}(z)$.

Now let $w(r, \theta, t)$ be the solution of the linear heat equation, $w_t = \Delta w$, with the same initial and boundary values as u . Then, by "variation of parameters",

$$u(r, \theta, t) = w(r, \theta, t) + \int_0^t \int_D G(r, \theta, \rho, \phi; t - \eta) u^p(\rho, \phi, \eta) \rho^{N-1} d\rho dS_{\phi} d\eta$$

where

$$w(r, \theta, t) = \int_D G(r, \theta, \rho, \phi, t) u_0(\rho, \phi) \rho^{N-1} dS_{\phi} d\rho.$$

Consequently $u \geq w$ and, by (E1), we have, after changing the order of integration,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} u(r, \theta, t) \psi_1(\theta) dS_{\theta} \\
 \text{(E2)} \quad & \geq \int_{\Omega} w(r, \theta, t) \psi_1(\theta) dS_{\theta} \\
 & \geq Ct^{-(\gamma+N/2)} r^{\gamma} e^{-r^2/4t} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \rho^{\gamma+N-1} e^{(-\rho^2/4t)} u_0(\rho, \phi) \psi_1(\phi) dS_{\phi} d\rho.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for any nonnegative function $a(r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \| G(\cdot, \cdot, \rho_0, \phi_0; t_0) a(\cdot) \psi_1(\cdot) \|_{L^1(D)} \\
 \text{(E3)} \quad & = \int_D G(r, \theta; \rho_0, \phi_0; t_0) a(r) \psi_1(\theta) r^{N-1} dS_{\theta} dr \\
 & \geq Ct_0^{-(\gamma+N/2)} \rho_0^{\gamma} e^{(-\rho_0^2/4t_0)} \cdot \psi_1(\phi_0) \int_0^{\infty} a(r) r^{\gamma+N-1} e^{-r^2/4t_0} dr.
 \end{aligned}$$

When $a(r) = r^{\alpha}$, this last integral is simply

$$\frac{1}{2} \Gamma \left(\frac{\alpha + \gamma + N}{2} \right) (4t_0)^{(\alpha + \gamma + N)/2}$$

so that

$$\| G(\cdot, \cdot, \rho_0, \phi_0; t_0) a(\cdot) \psi_1(\cdot) \|_{L^1(D)} \geq C(\alpha) t_0^{-(\alpha-\gamma)/2} \rho_0^\gamma e^{-\rho_0^{2/4} t_0} \psi_1(\phi_0).$$

We now establish the following Lemmas:

LEMMA 1. *For all $t \in (0, T)$ where T is the length of the existence interval ($T \leq \infty$), we have*

$$w(r, \theta, t) \leq ((p - 1)t)^{-1/(p-1)}.$$

PROOF.

$$u(r, \theta, t) = \{w^{-(p-1)}(r, \theta, t) - t(p - 1)\}^{-1/(p-1)}$$

is seen to be a subsolution of (P) and consequently cannot blow up before u does.

LEMMA 2. *If u is a global solution of (P), then*

$$\int_D e^{-r^{2/4} t^\gamma} u_0(r, \theta) \psi_1(\theta) dx \leq C(p) t^{(N+\gamma)/2 - 1/(p-1)}$$

for some constant $C(p)$.

Suppose $p < p^*$. Then letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we see from this lemma that $u_0 \equiv 0$. We thus recover the result of [2] to which we alluded in the introduction.

To prove the lemma, we see that from Lemma 1,

$$(p - 1)^{-1/(p-1)} \geq t^{1/(p-1)} w(\sqrt{t}, \theta, t).$$

Integrating over Ω with respect to $\psi_1(\theta) dS_\theta$, we see from (E2) that

$$(p - 1)^{-1/(p-1)} \geq C t^{1/(p-1)} t^{-(\gamma+N)/2} e^{-1/4} \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \rho^{\gamma+N-1} e^{(-\rho^{2/4} t)} \psi_1(\phi) u_0(\rho, \phi) d\rho dS_\phi.$$

This implies the result.

REMARK. If u is a global solution, we may replace $u_0(\rho, \theta)$ by $u(\rho, \theta, t_0)$ for any $t_0 > 0$ in view of the autonomous nature of (P).

THEOREM 3. *If $p = 1 + 2/(N + \gamma) = 1 - 2/\gamma_-$, the problem (P) possesses no nontrivial, nonnegative global solution.*

PROOF. Let $p = 1 + 2/(N + \gamma)$. We have, if u is global, for all $t > 0$, from Lemma 2 and the variation of parameters formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
 C(p) &\geq \| r^\gamma u(r, \theta, t) \psi_1(\theta) \|_{L^1(D)} \\
 &\geq \left\| \left(\int_0^t \int_D r^\gamma G(r, \theta, \rho, \phi; t-s) (u(\rho, \phi, s))^p \rho^{N-1} d\rho dS_\phi ds \right) \psi_1(\theta) \right\|_{L^1(D)} \\
 &= \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega (u(\rho, \phi, s))^p \\
 &\quad \times \left(\int_0^\infty \int_\Omega r^\gamma \psi_1(\theta) G(r, \theta, \rho, \phi; t-s) r^{N-1} dr dS_\theta \right) \rho^{N-1} d\rho dS_\phi ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (E3)

$$C(p) \geq C_1 \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega (u(\rho, \phi, s))^p e^{-\rho^{2/4}(t-s)} \psi_1(\phi) \rho^{N+\gamma-1} d\rho dS_\phi ds.$$

From Jensen's inequality, we have

$$C(p) \geq C_1 \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \left(\int_\Omega u(\rho, \phi, s) \psi_1(\phi) dS_\phi \right)^p e^{-\rho^{2/4}(t-s)} \rho^{N+\gamma-1} d\rho ds,$$

since $\int_\Omega \psi_1 dS_\phi = 1$. Thus, raising both sides of (E2) to the p th power we find that

$$C(p) \geq C_2(p) \int_0^t \int_0^\infty C_3^p(s, u_0) [s^{-(\gamma+N/2)} \rho^\gamma e^{-\rho^{2/4}s}]^p \rho^{\gamma+N-1} e^{-\rho^{2/4}(t-s)} d\rho ds$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_3(s, \gamma, N, u_0, \psi_1) &= \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega R^{\gamma+N-1} e^{-R^{2/4}s} u_0(R, \phi) \psi_1(\phi) dS_\phi dR \\
 &\geq C_4(t_0, u_0)
 \end{aligned}$$

provided $0 < t_0 \leq s$, where $C_4 > 0$ for nontrivial initial data u_0 . Thus, for $t \geq t_0$, there is C_5 such that

$$C_5(p, u_0, t_0) \geq \int_{t_0}^t \left(\int_0^\infty \rho^{\gamma(p+1)+N-1} e^{-\rho^{2/4}(p(t-s)+s)/4s} d\rho \right) s^{-p(\gamma+N/2)} ds.$$

If we fix $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and assume $t_0 \leq s \leq (1-\delta)t$, then $\delta t/(t-s) \leq 1$ and $s/(t-s) \leq 1/\delta - 1$. Therefore

$$\frac{s(t-s)}{p(t-s)+s} = \frac{s}{p+s/(t-s)} \geq \frac{\delta s}{\delta(p-1)+1}.$$

Consequently, there is C_6 such that

$$C_6(p, u_0, t_0, \delta) \geq \int_{t_0}^{(1-\delta)t} s^{-p(\gamma+N/2)+(\gamma(p+1)+N)/2} ds$$

in view of the change of variables $\sigma = [\delta/(\delta(p-1)+1)]^{1/2} s^{1/2} \rho$. However,

$$\begin{aligned}
 -p(\gamma + N/2) + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma(p + 1) + N) &= -\frac{1}{2}\gamma p + \frac{1}{2}\gamma - \frac{(p - 1)N}{2} \\
 &= -\frac{(p - 1)}{2}(N + \gamma).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$C_6 \geq \int_{t_0}^{(1-\delta)t} s^{-(p-1)(N+\gamma)/2} ds \rightarrow +\infty$$

as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ as long as $\frac{1}{2}(p - 1)(N + \gamma) \leq 1$. This is the desired contradiction.

REMARK. If u^p is replaced by $h(t)u^p$ where $h(t) \geq 0$ and $h(t) \sim t^q$ ($q > -1$) as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the above proof may be modified to show that there are no global (nontrivial) solutions if

$$1 < p \leq 1 + (2 + 2q)/(N + \gamma).$$

In this case, the right hand side in Lemma 1 is replaced by $[(p - 1) \int_0^\infty h(s) ds]^{-1/(p-1)}$ and the right hand side in Lemma 2 by $C(p, h) \cdot t^{(N+\gamma)/2} \cdot (\int_0^t h(s) ds)^{-1/(p-1)}$. Thus, as a first step in the proof of Theorem 3, we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 &C(p, h) \\
 &\geq \left\| \left(\int_0^t \int_D r^\gamma G(r, \theta, \rho, \phi, t - s) h(s) (u(\rho, \phi, s))^p \rho^{N-1} d\rho dS_\theta ds \right) \psi_1(\theta) \right\|_{L^1(D)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the same arguments as above, we obtain a contradiction by concluding that

$$C_6(p, u_0, t_0, h) \geq \int_{t_0}^{(1-\delta)t} h(s) \cdot s^{-(p-1)(N+\gamma)/2} ds.$$

We were unable to show that if u^p is replaced by $r^\sigma u^p$, $\sigma \geq 0$, then

$$p^* = 1 + \frac{2 + \sigma}{N + \gamma}$$

belongs to the blowup case. We have already shown, [10], that this is the critical exponent in this case.

REMARK. In [2], it was shown that if D is the exterior of a bounded domain, then the critical exponent $p^* = 1 + 2/N$ as in the case considered by Fujita. However, it has yet to be shown that p^* belongs to the blowup case as it does in the case $D = R^N$.

REFERENCES

1. D. J. Aronson and H. F. Weinberger, *Multidimensional nonlinear diffusion arising in population genetics*, *Advances in Math.* **30** (1978), 33–76.
2. C. Bandle and H. A. Levine, *On the existence and nonexistence of global solutions of reaction-diffusion equations in sectorial domains*, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, in press.
3. H. Fujita, *On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$* , *J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **13** (1966), 109–124.
4. H. Fujita, *On some nonexistence and nonuniqueness theorems for nonlinear parabolic equations*, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **18** (1969), 105–113.
5. K. Hayakawa, *On nonexistence of global solutions of some semilinear parabolic equations*, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **49** (1973), 503–505.
6. O. Kavian, *Remarks on the large time behaviour of a nonlinear diffusion equation*, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Analyse nonlinéaire* **4** (1987), 423–452.
7. K. Kobayashi, T. Sirao and H. Tanaka, *On the growing up problem for semilinear heat equations*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **29** (1977), 407–424.
8. H. A. Levine, *Some nonexistence and instability theorems for solutions of formally parabolic equations of the form $Pu_t = -Au + F(u)$* , *Arch. Ratl. Mech. Anal.* **51** (1973), 371–386.
9. H. A. Levine, *The long time behaviour of solutions of reaction diffusion equations in unbounded domains: a survey*, *Proceedings of 10th Dundee Conference on the Theory of Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations*, July 5–8, 1988.
10. H. A. Levine and P. Meier, *The value of the critical exponent for reaction-diffusion equations in cones*, *Arch. Ratl. Mech. Anal.*, in print.
11. P. Meier, *Blow-up of solutions of semilinear parabolic differential equations*, *ZAMP* **30** (1988), 135–149.
12. P. Meier, *On the critical exponent for reaction-diffusion equations*, *Arch. Ratl. Mech. Anal.*, in press.
13. G. N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, 2nd edn., Cambridge University Press, London/New York, 1944.
14. F. B. Weissler, *Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for a semilinear heat equation*, *Isr. J. Math.* **38** (1981), 29–40.